

**Tertiary Education
Commission**
Te Amorangi Mātauranga Matua



Annual Maximum Fee Movement – exception

**Application guidelines and assessment
information**

**Published by the Tertiary Education Commission
Te Amorangi Mātauranga Matua**

National Office
44 The Terrace
PO Box 27408
Wellington, New Zealand

2025

Authors

The Tertiary Education Commission.

Every effort is made to provide accurate and factual content. The TEC, however, cannot accept responsibility for any inadvertent errors or omissions that may occur.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. You are free to copy, distribute, and adapt the work, as long as you attribute the work to the Tertiary Education Commission and abide by the other license terms. Please note you may not use any departmental or governmental emblem, logo, or coat of arms in any way that infringes any provision of the Flags, Emblems, and Names Protection Act 1981.

Authors

The Tertiary Education Commission.

Table of Contents

1.	Applying for an exception	4
1.1	Introduction	5
1.2	Limits on the number of applications	5
1.3	Demonstrating the case for an exception	5
1.4	Submitting an application and notification of outcome	6
1.5	Review of decision	6
1.6	Checklist	6
2.	Exception criteria	7
2.1	Criterion one: Financial sustainability	7
2.2	Criterion two: Alignment to the 75th percentile for fees	10
2.3	Criterion three: Completion rate meets or exceeds the sector rate	10
2.4	Criterion four: The course is in some way unique or special	11
2.5	Criterion five: Alignment to Government priorities	11

1. Applying for an exception

If you wish to seek an exception to the Annual Maximum Fee Movement (AMFM) you should prepare a case providing the information outlined below. For tertiary education institutions (TEIs), a delegated authority of the TEI's Council must authorise and sign the application. For registered private training establishments (PTEs), the Chief Executive or Board Chair must authorise and sign the application.

These guidelines describe the specific requirements you must follow and the information you need to provide to us. This is not an exhaustive list, and you must provide enough suitable evidence for us to make a decision.

To make sure you give us the right information, please see the [How to apply for an AMFM exception](#) section of the AMFM webpage for links to all required templates and application guidance.

Please provide any other relevant information to support your application. You must provide evidence that increasing fees will not negatively impact on student access, as this would compromise achievement of the Tertiary Education Strategy (TES). You must also address any potential negative effects of increasing fees by more than the AMFM permitted rate.

We will consider the relevant range of alternative provision to decide whether your arguments are reasonable. Ensure that your application provides all the information needed.

Corresponding polytechnics and corresponding courses

If you are a **corresponding polytechnic** offering a **corresponding course**, you may charge the fee that applied to that course before 1 April 2020, plus AMFM exception increases for each subsequent year. You do not need to apply for an AMFM exception unless you wish to increase fees above the permitted 6.00 percent for 2026.

Note: This flexibility is **time-bound**. It applies only for the 2026–27 calendar years and will be reviewed as part of future funding decisions. This setting applies only to polytechnics established on or after 1 January 2026, and business divisions of Te Pūkenga. Other tertiary education organisations (TEOs) should continue to follow general AMFM rules.

Corresponding courses

A corresponding course is one that:

- was subject to Te Pūkenga's programme unification process; and
- is offered by a polytechnic established on or after 1 January 2026 or a business division of Te Pūkenga; and
- is the same as or very similar to (as determined by the Tertiary Education Commission (TEC) a course delivered by its corresponding polytechnic before 1 April 2020.

Corresponding polytechnics

Corresponding polytechnic means a polytechnic that became a subsidiary of Te Pūkenga on 1 April 2020 that corresponds directly, either by name, location, or other unique identifying feature, to a polytechnic established on or after 1 January 2026.

1.1 Introduction

In exceptional circumstances, tertiary education organisations (TEOs) can apply for exceptions from the annual maximum fee increase permitted under the AMFM policy. The regulation of the AMFM, including the exception criteria, is set out in the funding mechanisms.

[Funding mechanisms and delegations](#)

The policy applies to courses funded through the Delivery at Levels 3 to 7 (non-degree) on the New Zealand Qualifications and Credentials Framework (NZQCF) (DQ3-7) and Delivery at Levels 7 (degree) to 10 on the NZQCF (DQ7-10) funds.

[Delivery at Levels 3 to 7 \(non-degree\) on the NZQCF \(DQ3-7\) Fund](#)

[Delivery at Levels 7 \(degree\) to 10 on the NZQCF \(DQ7-10\) Fund](#)

The maximum allowable fee increase for 2026 is **6.00 percent**. You may apply for an exception to this limit to increase course fees by up to an additional 6.00 percent above the permitted 6.00 percent increase.

1.2 Limits on the number of applications

There is a limit to the number of courses for which you can request an exception each year, depending on the number of equivalent full-time students (EFTS) enrolled at your TEO. If you are applying for exceptions for multiple courses, each individual course must meet the exception criteria.

For a TEO with 1,000 EFTS or fewer, the limit is 4 courses. For a TEO with between 1,000 and 4,999 EFTS, the limit is 8 courses. For a TEO with between 5,000 and 9,999 EFTS, the limit is 12 courses. For a TEO with 10,000 EFTS or more, the limit is 16 courses and increases by 4 courses per increment of 10,000 EFTS.

Please carefully consider which courses you apply for an exception for. We will track all applications and decline further applications past your limit.

1.3 Demonstrating the case for an exception

To qualify for an exception, you must show that you have exceptional circumstances requiring an exception. To gain an exception, your application must meet the following criteria:

› **Criterion one: Financial sustainability**

You can demonstrate that it is financially unsustainable to deliver the course, in terms of the cost of delivering the course and taking into account the total income the course would generate (including government funding and tuition fees), and that there are no satisfactory alternatives to limit costs.

› **Criterion two: Within the 75th percentile**

The existing fees for the course are no more than the 75th percentile of the range of fees charged for similar courses; and

› **Any two of the following criteria:**

○ **Criterion three: Completion rate meets or exceeds the sector rate**

Where the course is part of a programme at Levels 3 to 7 (non-degree) or 7 to 10 on the NZQCF that has been delivered previously, the programme has a cohort-based completion rate that meets or exceeds the median performance benchmark for that NZQCF level in the previous year.

○ **Criterion four: The course is in some way unique or special**

You can demonstrate that the course is in some way unique or special, for example, that there are no available local alternatives.

○ **Criterion five: Alignment to government priorities**

Not allowing an exception will prevent you from making a significant contribution to the achievement of one or more of the government's priorities, as set out in the Tertiary Education Strategy (TES).

1.4 Submitting an application and notification of outcome

Email your application, along with any student body feedback to customerservice@tec.govt.nz with the subject line **[EDUMIS] – AMFM exception application**. All documentation, including your chartered accountant's attestation, must be received by 5.00pm on 28 November 2025.

We will acknowledge applications via email within five business days. We will let you know the outcome of your application by email.

1.5 Review of decision

You, or the relevant student body, may seek a review of our decision by responding to the decision email if you believe:

- › there has been a substantial misapplication of the criteria to the information as supplied in your application (you may not introduce any new information)
- › the process requirements of established administrative law as currently applied in New Zealand have not been appropriately followed.

1.6 Checklist

Please ensure your application includes:

- › a completed application template
- › the financial data template, and
- › any necessary supporting information.

Please also ensure that you have requested your chartered accountant forwards the attestation form directly to us.

2. Exception criteria

For us to consider an exception, the application must meet criteria one and two. It must also meet at least two of criteria three, four and five.

2.1 Criterion one: Financial sustainability

You can demonstrate that it is financially unsustainable to deliver the course, in terms of the cost of delivering the course and taking into account the total income the course would generate (including government funding and tuition fees), and that there are no satisfactory alternatives to limit costs.

Costings need to include TEO-level information in all cases. For applications below TEO level, you must also undertake costings at the level at which the application for exception is made and all levels above.

This means applications at:

- › **individual course or qualification level should list the costings for:**
 - the courses/qualifications
 - the department or division that the course/qualification is in, and
 - the TEO as a whole.
- › **department or division level should list the costings for:**
 - the department or division, and
 - the TEO as a whole.
- › **TEO level should list the costings for:**
 - the TEO as a whole.

You should provide the following information, at the relevant level(s), in the worksheets included in the financial data template:

- › The number of students, the income and the fees charged for the course(s)
- › Other student-based income
- › Research income
- › Other government income
- › The costs attributable to the course(s), along with any explanations for the apportionment/costing, under the following headings:
 - *Remuneration paid to owners/directors*: the direct operating expenses (eg, consumables, travel equipment, maintenance, library and other course and research expenses) that are incurred to support students enrolled in or staff teaching the course(s)
 - *Personnel costs*: the direct cost of all staff (full time, part time and contract) who undertake academic roles within the course(s) and those who provide technical or similar support to students enrolled within the course(s) and/or the academic staff teaching the course(s)
 - *Other operating expenses*: the direct operating expenses (eg, consumables, travel, equipment, maintenance, library and other course and research

- expenses) that are incurred to support students enrolled in or staff teaching the course(s)
- *Indirect costs attributable to course(s):* (eg, central administration charges and costs relating to the TEO as a whole) and an explanation of the rationale for the apportionment of these costs.

You should provide information by department, where relevant, concerning:

- › The number of equivalent full-time students (EFTS)
- › Average fees
- › The range of qualifications offered
- › All funding received from government
- › Evidence to support the case that you cannot cross-subsidise because of the extent of the total surplus from all sources.

Complete the information, financial figures and forecasts for your organisation as a whole, or the relevant department or division, using the Annual accounts data worksheet. This requires the previous year's actual data, a current year forecast and forecasts for the next year.

You will need to advise what percentage increases are planned for all other courses at your organisation. If this is less than 6.00 percent, please advise why. Complete the other fee increases worksheet.

Your application must address the financial impact of both:

- › running the course(s) without the additional 6.00 percent in course fees; and
- › not running the course(s) at all (including what you would do instead if you did not run the course(s)).

Assessment of criterion one

We will use income from all sources in assessing this criterion. We expect this information to align with your published annual accounts.

Course-specific information provides an indication of the contribution toward organisational costs from the income generated by the course(s).

Financial information

You must have your financial forecasts and analysis reviewed and verified by an independent chartered accountant. The chartered accountant's assurance report must be submitted independently to the TEC, not with the application.

It can be sent by email to customerservice@tec.govt.nz with the subject line **[EDUMIS] – AMFM exception application** as soon as possible after your submission, and no later than 5.00pm on 28 November 2025.

We may also consider any other financial information available to us.

Scope of assurance

The independent chartered accountant must provide assurance:

- › that the financial forecasts and financial information provided are accurate and robust

- › that the costing practices and financial forecasts in the application are consistent with internal management reporting
- › on the effect of any transfer pricing or related party transactions
- › on the financial solvency and sustainability of the entity seeking an exception and the courses and programmes where an exception is being sought.

We can provide a template assurance report for the chartered accountant's financial review and verification if required.

Assessment of financial sustainability

For PTEs, we will look at two factors:

- › Your solvency before and after the proposed exception, using the test in the Companies Act 1993:
 - Are you able to meet your debts as they fall due? (liquidity test)
 - Are your total assets greater than your total liabilities? (balance sheet solvency).
- › Are you currently operating profitably and is this forecast to be maintained over the near future? If the forecast surplus exceeds 8 percent of total revenue, we will expect you to cross-subsidise your more profitable courses/programmes against any less profitable courses/programmes.

The chartered accountant's assurance report will need to confirm that the following are "at arm's-length" and do not contain "transfer pricing" that unduly and materially affects your reported profitability:

- › the level of remuneration paid to shareholders/owners; and
- › related party transactions.¹

For TEIs, we will consider whether:

- › the course is financially unsustainable, as evidenced by making no contribution towards covering faculty and central costs. We expect the direct costs of running the course to exceed the direct revenues it generates
- › the course is typically part of an unprofitable qualification (if it is typically associated with a profitable qualification, a sustainable cross-subsidisation would superficially appear to exist)
- › an entity-level deficit is projected for 2026, as evidence of limited ability to cross-subsidise the course from other activities and qualifications.

The analysis includes financial performance measures such as the operating surplus ratio, liquidity ratio and contribution margin ratio. These apply at the TEI level and course/qualification level as relevant.

Additionally:

- › If depreciation/amortisation costs are attributed to the course, they need to relate to capital items that are restricted to only the delivery of that course

¹ A "related party" is as defined in the External Reporting Board: New Zealand International Accounting Standard 24 – Related Party Disclosures.

- › Typically, across-time unsustainability will be evidenced by the course having multi-year deficits. We would expect to see an actual deficit in 2024, a projected deficit in 2025 and a forecast deficit in 2026. We will consider well documented exceptions to this.

We expect robust evidence of efforts to reduce costs, particularly if the course did not have an actual deficit in 2024, a projected deficit in 2025 and a forecast deficit in 2026, or if it previously had high levels of international students.

We will look specifically at the effect of the student fees, along with other factors, on financial sustainability.

2.2 Criterion two: Alignment to the 75th percentile

The existing fees for the course are no more than the 75th percentile of the range of fees charged for similar courses.

We will assess course fees and course costs for the current year from the range of fees for similar courses charged by:

- › your organisation,
- › your sub-sector, or
- › the sector as a whole.

The highest of the three 75th percentile calculations will apply. To identify similar courses, we consider the courses:

- › funding category
- › New Zealand Standard Classification of Education (NZSCED), and
- › equivalent full-time students (EFTS) factor.

You can request information on where your course's fees sit compared to the 75th percentile for fees for similar courses. Please contact customerservice@tec.govt.nz with the subject line: **[EDUMIS] – 2026 Course Fee Enquiry**.

2.3 Criterion three: Completion rate meets or exceeds the sector rate

Where the course is part of a programme at Levels 3 to 7 (non-degree) or 7 to 10 on the NZQCF that has been delivered previously, the programme has a cohort-based completion rate that meets or exceeds the median performance benchmark for that NZQCF level in the previous year.

For each course in your application, its intended qualification must meet or exceed the qualification completion rate for the sector.

We will compare your qualification completion rate to the 2024 cohort-based qualification completion rate (all sectors) for the relevant NZQCF group, provided in the table below. The sector qualification completion rate adds up the number of completions across all TEOs and divides it by the number of learners in the cohort group or level across all TEOs.

If the course(s) are part of a qualification that has been previously delivered, you must provide your performance data in the financial data template. If the course(s) are part of a new qualification not yet delivered, leave the qualification completion rate column blank.

To get your qualification completion rate for the intended qualification that your course(s) belong to, use the **My Performance – SDR App** in [DXP Ngā Kete](#):

1. In the app, select the “Intended qualification and completed qualification” sheet.
2. For the reporting year, select “2024”.
3. For the source of funding, select “Student Achievement Component”.
4. Then select the qualification from the “Learners enrolled by intended qualification title” chart.

NZQCF group	2024 cohort-based qualification completion all-of-sector rate
Level 3	61.5%
Levels 4–7 non-degree	55.8%
Level 7 degree and Level 8	62.0%
Levels 9 and 10	72.3%

2.4 Criterion four: The course is in some way unique or special

You can demonstrate that the course is in some way unique or special, for example, that there are no available local alternatives.

You may meet this criterion by lack of alternative regional provision. We will particularly consider situations where not increasing the fees would severely restrict access for a segment of the student population identified in the TES.

We will investigate the relevant range of alternative provision to determine how reasonable your arguments are.

2.5 Criterion five: Alignment to Government priorities

You must demonstrate that not allowing an exception will prevent you from making a significant contribution to the achievement of one or more of the Government’s priorities, as set out in the TES.

You should provide evidence that applying the AMFM limit would prevent you from making a significant, specific, identifiable contribution towards the TES.

In assessing this information, we will consider [the current TES priorities](#).

You will need to address one or more of the key aspects of the TES, particularly in terms of its specific strategies and objectives. We will look for a strong case for exception in one or more of these aspects, along with no concerns regarding the remaining aspect(s). You must provide evidence that increasing fees will not negatively impact any of these aspects, and you must address any foreseeable negative effect.